

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,841	08/04/2003	Shinji Yamadaji	04329.3103	5058
7	11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
	nderson, Farabow,	ARTHUR JEANGLAUDE, GERTRUDE		
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3315			2144	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/632,841	YAMADAJI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	2144			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Second 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. The communication is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. The communication is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. The condition is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. The condition is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. The condition is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. The condition is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. The condition is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. The condition is in condition for all the condition is in condition in the condition in the condition is in condition in the condition in the condition is in condition in the condition in the condition is in condition in the conditio	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4,6-11,13,14,16-18 and 20-28 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4,6-11,13,14 and 16-18 is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ed.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 8/4/03 is/are: a) ☑ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,841

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tari et al. (US Pub 20030016636).

As to claims 20, 25, Tari et al. disclose an on-vehicle electronic apparatus (See paragraph 0010, vehicle information communication system) comprising means for receiving a packet containing information indicating a position of a transmission destination and information to be transmitted to the transmission destination from a first on-vehicle electronic apparatus using a wireless LAN; and means for transmitting the received packet to a second on-vehicle electronic apparatus (considered as terminal 51) using the wireless LAN (See paragraph 0169, 0215).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/632,841 Page 3

Art Unit: 2144

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-22, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tari et al. (US Pub 20030016636).

As to claims 21-22, 26, Tari et al. disclose the transmission destination of onvehicle electronic apparatus as discussed wherein one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would modify the system of Tari et al. to have the second onvehicle electronic apparatus that is closest to the transmission destination of on-vehicle electronic apparatuses around the self vehicle (providing short range radio for routing IP packets) (See paragraph 0169, 0215).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23-24, 27-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to disclose means for obtaining the second on-vehicle electronic apparatus closest to the transmission destination based on the acquired position information and velocity information of vehicles around a self vehicle using the wireless LAN.

Claims 2-4, 6-11, 13-14, 16-18 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/632,841

Art Unit: 2144

Page 4

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-4, 6-11, 13-14, 16-18, 20-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (571) 272-3925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

November 15, 2004

GERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUDE

PRIMARY EXAMINER